## Demand Response Partnership Program

The Demand Response Partnership Program was created by USGBC and Environmental Defense Fund to:

→ Understand the relationship between commercial buildings and demand response

→ Drive adoption of Auto Demand Response (ADR) in commercial buildings

## Who We Are

















- → Generate and maintain interest in ADR across utility territories, states and national levels
- → Reveal customer responsiveness and perceived barriers to adopting ADR
- → Quantify economic, environmental & grid benefits delivered by ADR
- → Serve as a foundation to bring together utilities, service providers and customers to continue the transformative journey of ADR at the company, state and national levels

## What is Demand Response?

"Changes in electric usage by end-use customers from their normal consumption patterns [...] when system reliability is jeopardized."

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

## **Demand Response**



- → Customer agrees ahead of time to shed noncritical load during times of peak demand
- → Keeps the grid stable during hot summer months, prevents rotating outages

- $\rightarrow$  Limited number of energy-focused facility managers
- $\rightarrow$  Lack of familiarity with utility DR programs
- $\rightarrow$  Lack of specific knowledge around costs and benefits
- $\rightarrow$  Perception that demand response is disruptive
- $\rightarrow$  Concern over loss of control
- $\rightarrow$  Concerns over ongoing operational changes

## Driving Market Adoption

## **Market Adoption**



## LEED v2009

 $\rightarrow$  Pilot Credit 8 for 1 point

LEED v4

 $\rightarrow$  EA Credit for up to 3 points

## **LEED Credits**

## **Credit Requirements**

- $\rightarrow$  Real-time, fully-automated demand response (ADR)
- → Minimum 1-year contractual commitment with intention of multi-year renewal
- → For the pilot credit: 10% or more of the estimated peak electricity demand (or a minimum of 20 kW, whichever is greater)
- → For the v4 credit: 10% or more of the estimated peak electricity demand

Performing Outreach

## Outreach

## Methodology

- $\rightarrow$  USGBC & Skipping Stone perform initial outreach
- $\rightarrow$  Target LEED registered and certified buildings

## **Multi-Pronged Approach**

- $\rightarrow$  Emails, phone calls, in-person meetings
- $\rightarrow$  Webcasts, press releases
- $\rightarrow$  USGBC chapter resources

## Outreach

#### **Outcomes**

- → 572 buildings representing 275 million sq ft selected for initial outreach
- → 133 buildings (51 million sq ft) enrolled, evaluating enrollment, or are DR ready

## Performing Research

#### **Key Characteristics**

- → Led by Environmental Defense Fund and Lawrence Berkeley National Lab
- $\rightarrow$  Work directly with utility sponsors to obtain data
- $\rightarrow$  Technical papers and case studies

#### The Data

- $\rightarrow$  Building electric load
- $\rightarrow$  Weather
- $\rightarrow$  Emissions from generation resources
- $\rightarrow$  Customer survey responses

Over 3 million sq ft of Class A office space in the pipeline

#### The Questions

- → Consumer energy use behavior and barriers to participation
- → Performance assessment and estimation in commercial buildings
- $\rightarrow$  Establishing baselines and peak load benchmarking
- $\rightarrow$  Customer financial analysis and cost-effectiveness
- → System-wide impacts, including environmental and reliability impacts

## Why does EDF care about smart grid?

- $\rightarrow$  Least-cost best fit
- $\rightarrow$  Clean Air Act rules for existing power plants
- $\rightarrow$  Getting to 33% RPS in California
- → Integrating distributed intermittent energy resources and electric vehicles
- $\rightarrow$  Time-of-Use residential rates
- $\rightarrow$  Consumer empowerment
- $\rightarrow$  Environmental outcomes

## **DRPP Research Hypotheses - Environmental**

- $\rightarrow$  DR is least-cost and best fit for:
  - $\rightarrow$  peak load management
  - → Integrating intermittent renewable resources & electric vehicles
- → DR can provide significant environmental benefits shifting demand to off-peak with cleaner generation mix

# Approximately one third of the power grid load is attributable to the commercial building community.

(Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration)



## Fuel Mix for U.S. Electricity



Source: http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/energy-and-you/index.html

## Sample Emissions Calculation

http://oaspub.epa.gov/powpro/ept\_pack.router



- **17** pounds of <u>nitrogen oxides</u>
- 75 pounds of sulfur dioxide
- **19,314** pounds of <u>carbon dioxide</u>

Note: Your annual emissions include a grid region specific adjustment for line losses of 5.82 percent

#### **Peak Load Fuel Mix**



## California ISO Generation Mix July 25, 2013 (1 hour increments)



og arwatts

## California ISO Renewables Mix July 25, 2013 (1 hour increments)

Hourly Average Breakdown of Renewable Resources



## Measuring & Valuing Environmental Benefits of DRRP





**Next Steps** 

 $\rightarrow$  Calculate generation mix **emissions intensities** 

 $\rightarrow$  Calculate benefits from load shifts and conservation

## How can we quantify potential benefits of DR?

Increasing Interactions with Grid (OpenADR & Smart Grid)



Increasing Speed of Telemetry

## **Key Building Characteristics**

- $\rightarrow$  Building systems
- $\rightarrow$  Building size
- $\rightarrow$  Building type (e.g., office, retail, cold storage, etc.)
- $\rightarrow$  Occupancy schedule
- $\rightarrow$  Load characterization peak load time and magnitude
- $\rightarrow$  Load variability
- $\rightarrow$  Weather sensitivity









## What metrics are most useful to prioritize DR enablement of buildings?

- $\rightarrow$  Response time
- $\rightarrow$  Reliable load reductions
- $\rightarrow$  Reduce load while maintaining comfort

Each of these is influenced by properties of building systems and occupancy.

#### **Demand Response Database**

- $\rightarrow$  Distinctions made according to
  - $\rightarrow$  Building location
  - $\rightarrow$  DR program
  - $\rightarrow$  Building type
  - $\rightarrow$  Building Size
  - $\rightarrow$  DR strategies
- → Analysis tool to identify load variability between days, weather sensitivity of loads, load ranges and load shed in response to DR events over time
- $\rightarrow$  Choice of baseline development options

## **Demand Response Metrics**

- → Load shed (kW)- historically most reported figure of merit but lacks context
- $\rightarrow$  Peak load timing (compared with the timing of DR event)

## **Relevant Metrics**

- $\rightarrow$  W/sq. ft .
- $\rightarrow$  Whole Facility Power % (WFP%)
- $\rightarrow$  Peak Load Benchmarking (magnitude & timing)
- $\rightarrow$  DR Enablement Costs (\$/kW)



Date	Baseline	Period	kW			W/ft <sup>2</sup>			WFP%		
			Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
2013-07- 09	10/10 baseline	16:00:00 - 17:00:00	45	91	77	0.45	0.91	0.77	4.9	9.9	8.4
		17:00:00 - 18:00:00	76	84	80	0.76	0.84	0.8	8.7	9.7	9.1
		16:00:00 - 18:00:00	45	91	78	0.45	0.91	0.78	4.9	9.9	8.7
	20 day OAT Reg	16:00:00 - 17:00:00	38	84	68	0.38	0.84	0.68	4.1	9.1	7.5
		17:00:00 - 18:00:00	64	75	69	0.64	0.75	0.69	7.5	8.7	8
		16:00:00 - 18:00:00	38	84	69	0.38	0.84	0.69	4.1	9.1	7.7

## **Preliminary Findings**

- → Effective Demand Response strategies can lead to load sheds, from 5% to 18% (WFP%).
- → Load size does not make a stronger case for high demand response effectiveness.
- → Load shapes in response to outside air temperature can be a good predictor to determine potential load sheds in buildings.



## Jamie Fine, Environmental Defense Fund jfine@edf.org

## **Ella Sung, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab** hsung@lbl.gov

## Heather Langford, USGBC hlangford@usgbc.org

## Who We Are













